

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/635,316	ASRAR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Peter Szekely	1714	

**All Participants:**

(1) Peter Szekely.

(2) Michael Terapane.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 November 2006

**Time:** 4:00 p.m.

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*None.*

**Claims discussed:**

*None.*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*None.*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In claims 95 and 102 the phrase "beteen about 2 and 7" has been changed to --from about 2 to about 7--.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)